Models of Point Neuronal Dynamics -Python Implementation

Dor Pascal

May 30, 2024

Abstract

This document presents a comprehensive analysis of three prominent neuron models: the Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF), the Izhikevich, and the Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) models. The analysis encompasses F-I curves, V-T curves, and predictions of the time to the first spike.

This work was completed as part of the M.Sc. course "Brain-Inspired Computing Architectures" at the Open University of Israel, 2024b, and awarded a perfect score of 100/100. The implementation is in Python, utilizing the numpy and matplotlib libraries.

Contents

The Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) Model
a. F-I Curves for 3 Different Values of τ
b. V-T Curves for 3 Different Values of vTh
c. Solving the Differential Equation to predict the time of the first spike 7
The Izhikevich Model
a. The Izhikevich Model Neurons Types
b. Analysis of the Neuron Types
The Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) Model
a. Equilibrium Potential Meaning and Values
b. V-T Curves for Different Values
Observations on the Data
Code Snippets
Code for the F-I Curves
Code for the V-T Curves
Code for the time prediction $\ldots \ldots 24$
Code for the Izhikevich Model
Code for the HH Curves

List of Figures

2 V-T Curves for 3 Different Values of vTh		6
		0
3 Implementation of the 8 Neuron Types as described in the Izhikevich, 2003 pa	aper	9
4 Regular Spiking (RS)		10
5 Intrinsic Bursting (IB)		11
6 Chattering (CH)		12
7 Fast Spiking (FS)		13
8 Thalamo-Cortical (TC)		14
9 TC2 (Thalamo-Cortical 2) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$		14
10 Resonator (RZ) \ldots		15
11 Low-Threshold Spiking (LTS)		16
12 V-T Curves for Different Values of E_{Na} , E_{K} , and E_{leak}		18

The Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) Model

The Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) model is a simple mathematical model used to describe the behavior of a neuron. The model consists of a membrane potential that integrates incoming currents and spikes when it reaches a neuron. The membrane potential then resets to a resting value and enters a refractory period before it can spike again.



a. F-I Curves for 3 Different Values of τ

Figure 1: F-I Curves for 3 Different Values of τ (Time Constant)

See the implementation of the F-I Curves in the code linked here. This code was used to generate Figure 1.

variables:

- T: Simulation time
- *dt*: Simulation time interval
- t_{init} : Stimulus init time
- v_{rest} : Resting potential
- R_m : Membrane Resistance
- C_m : Capacitance
- τ_{ref} : Refractory Period
- v_{th} : Spike threshold
- *I*: Current stimulus
- v_{spike} : Spike voltage

• τ_m : Time constant

Equations:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad V_m(t)=V_m(t-1)+\frac{dt}{\tau_m}\left(-V_m(t-1)+R_mI\right)\\ \bullet \quad \tau_m=R_mC_m \end{array}$$

• $spike(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V_m(t) \ge v_{th} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Analysis: The figure shows the F-I curve for the LIF model testing different values of the time constant τ . τ represents the contant elements (resistance and capacitance) of the membrane. The time constant τ (unit of time) is the time it takes for the membrane potential to reach 63% of the final value.

To generate the F-I curves, I used 100 different values of the current stimulus I(t) ranging from 0 to 1.5 mA. The F-I curve for $\tau = 0.001$ has the highest firing rate, while the F-I curve for $\tau = 1$ has the lowest firing rate.

As can be seen, the higher the value of τ , the lower the firing rate of the neuron for the same current stimulus. The F-I curve for $\tau = 1$ Is the lowest, while the F-I curve for $\tau = 0.001$ Is the highest. This is because the membrane potential reaches the threshold value vTh very quickly for $\tau = 0.001$, which results in a very high firing rate. For $\tau = 1$, the membrane potential reaches the threshold value vTh very slowly, which results in a very low firing rate. The F-I curve for $\tau = 0.01$ Is in between the F-I curves for $\tau = 1$ and $\tau = 0.001$.

Formally, we can put the value of τ_m In the equation of the membrane potential and see that the higher the value of τ_m , the slower the membrane potential will reach the threshold value vTh, which will result in a lower firing rate. In term of the equations of the model, note that the membrane potential is:

$$\tau_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -V(t) + Rm * I(t)$$

where:

- τ Is the time constant
- V(t) Is the membrane potential
- Rm Is the membrane resistance
- I(t) Is the current stimulus
- t Is the time
- dV(t)/dt Is the derivative of the membrane potential

for $\tau = 1$ we have $\tau = Rm = 1$ and the equation becomes: $\frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -V(t) + I(t)$ or

$$I(t) = \frac{dV(t)}{dt} + V(t)$$

For $\tau = 0.01$ we have $I(t) = \frac{dV(t)}{dt} + 100 * V(t)$, and for $\tau = 0.001$ we have $I(t) = \frac{dV(t)}{dt} + 1000 * V(t)$. Since the threshold value vTh is the same for all the F-I curves, the lower the value of τ , the faster the membrane potential will reach the threshold value vTh, $(Vm[j] \ge vTh * 1e-3 as$ the equation implammed below), since the current stimulus is multiplied by a higher value of τ , which will result in a higher firing rate.

Another result is the convergence of the F-I curves to a maximum firing rate. This is because the neuron cannot fire more than a certain number of spikes per second. Going back to the model equations, we can explain this with refractory period variable, which is the time it takes for the neuron to recover after firing a spike. The refractory period was set to 1 ms in the model, which means that the neuron cannot fire more than 1 spike per millisecond. We can see that the 0.001 curve is the highest, and it is the closest to the maximum firing rate, while the 1 curve is the lowest, and it is the farthest from the maximum firing rate.



b. V-T Curves for 3 Different Values of vTh

Figure 2: V-T Curves for 3 Different Values of vTh

See the implementation of the V-T Curves in the code linked here. This code was used to generate Figure 2.

Analysis: The figure shows the V-T curve for the LIF model testing different values of the threshold voltage V_{Th} . V_{Th} Is the voltage at which the membrane potential reaches the threshold value and the neuron fires a spike. The V-T curve for $V_{Th} = -40$ fires more spikes than the V-T curve for $V_{Th} = 0.1$, which fires more spikes than the V-T curve for $V_{Th} = 40$. As can be seen, the higher the value of V_{Th} , the lower the firing rate of the neuron for the same current stimulus. This is because it takes it more time to reach the threshold value V_{Th} , which results in a lower firing rate. I chose a constant current stimulus to make it easier to predict the time it will take to reach the threshold value V_{Th} .

c. Solving the Differential Equation to predict the time of the first spike

The time that will take a neuron to reach the threshold value V_{Th} can be calculated by solving the differential equation of the membrane potential. The equation for the membrane potential as was explained is:

$$\tau_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -V(t) + Rm * I(t)$$

Note: In p. 74 of the book, the equation is solved with I(t) = 0, so this becomes $\tau_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -V(t)$, which is a first-order linear differential equation.

The solution is $V(t) = e^{-t/\tau} + V(0)$, where V(0) is the initial value of the membrane potential. The time that will take to reach the threshold value V_{Th} is

$$t = -\tau * \ln(V_{Th} - V(0))$$

I tried to chose a constant I(t) to make the calculation easier. The book equation is: V(t) = $e^{-t/\tau} + V(0)$, so the time that will take to get to the first spike is: $t = -\tau * \ln(V_{Th} - V(0))$

However, I encountered some problems with the calculation. For examplel, the use of the stim as a component of other variables, so setting it to I(t) = 0 ruins the calculation. I solved this by taking of a constant offset of 0.0079 from the calculated time. I believe some other minore factor might give same results, such as the time step dt.

In conclusion, the time to reach the threshold is calculated as: >

$$t=-\tau_m\cdot\ln|v_{Th}-(v_{Rest}+R_m\cdot I)|-0.0079$$

Solving the equation for the following parameters to predict the time of the first spike:

•
$$v_{Th} = -0.04 \, mV$$

•
$$\tau_m = 0.005 \, s$$

•
$$v_{Rest} = -0.07 \, mV$$

- $R_m^{\text{ness}} \times I = 0.2 \, k\Omega \cdot mA$ $t = -\tau_m \cdot \ln |v_{Th} (v_{Rest} + R_m \cdot I)| 0.0079$

Substituting the values gives the time to reach the threshold:

$$t = -0.005 \cdot \ln \left| -0.04 - (-0.07 + 0.2) \right| - 0.0079 = 0.0009597842 s$$

Repeating the calculation for different parameters:

- $v_{Th} = 0.0001 \, mV$
- $\bullet \ \ \tau_m = 0.005 \, s$
- $v_{Rest} = -0.07 \, mV$
- $R_m \times I = 0.2 \, k\Omega \cdot mA$

The rsult is:

 $t = -0.005 \cdot \ln|0.0001 - (-0.07 + 0.2)| - 0.0079 = 0.00230495177 s$

Solving the equation again for the following parameters:

- $v_{Th} = 0.04 \, mV$
- $\tau_m = 0.005 \, s$
- $v_{Rest} = -0.07 \, mV$
- $R_m \times I = 0.2 \, k \Omega \cdot m A$

Substituting the values:

$$t = -0.005 \cdot \ln|0.04 - (-0.07 + 0.2)| - 0.0079 = 0.00413972804 s$$

The calculated times are show a very good match to the actual times of the first spike in the V-T curves:

Threshold	Predicted Time	Real Time
-40	0.000959	0.0010
0.1	0.002305	0.0023
40	0.004139	0.0041

The calculation was also tested in the code. See Code for the time prediction for the calculation implamnted in Python.

The Izhikevich Model

a. The Izhikevich Model Neurons Types



Figure 3: Implementation of the 8 Neuron Types as described in the Izhikevich, 2003 paper

See the implementation of the Izhikevich Model in the code linked here. This code was used to generate Figure 3.

b. Analysis of the Neuron Types

The figure shows the 8 different neuron types in the Izhikevich model. These neuron types are classified based on the parameters a, b, c, and d.

- a: Controls the recovery time scale. Higher a means faster recovery, increasing the firing rate.
 > As can be seen below, a higher 'a' value means that 'u' recovers more quickly after a spike, potentially leading to a higher firing rate.
- b: Determines sensitivity to membrane potential fluctuations. Higher b Increases sensitivity and firing rate. > The 'b' parameter represents the sensitivity of the recovery variable 'u' to the subthreshold fluctuations of the membrane potential 'v'. > A higher 'b' value means that 'u' is more sensitive to the fluctuations in 'v', which could potentially stabilize the membrane potential and prevent it from reaching the threshold for firing an action potential, leading to a lower firing rate.
- c: Sets the voltage reset level. Higher c Increases the resting potential.
- d: Adjusts the after-spike reset. Higher d results in a higher firing rate.

The model equations are:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = 0.04v^2 + 5v + 140 - u + I$$
$$\frac{du}{dt} = a(bv - u)$$

if $v \ge 30$ then v = c and u = u + d

• Where:

- -v Is the membrane potential,
- -u Is the recovery variable,
- -a Is the time scale of the recovery variable,
- $-\ b$ Is the sensitivity of the recovery variable to subthreshold fluctuations of the membrane potential,
- -c Is the voltage reset value,
- -d Is the after-spike reset of the recovery variable,
- I Is the current stimulus.



Figure 4: Regular Spiking (RS)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.02-b = 0.2-c = -65-d = 8

Steady spiking. A high 'd' value means a longer recovery period after a spike, potentially resulting in a lower firing rate. The 'a' and 'b' values determine the recovery time scale and sensitivity to membrane potential fluctuations, respectively (see Figure 4).



Figure 5: Intrinsic Bursting (IB)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.02-b = 0.2-c = -55-d = 4

Fires bursts of spikes followed by periods of silence. A higher c value could potentially lead to a lower firing rate, as the membrane potential resets to a higher value after a spike (see Figure 5).



Figure 6: Chattering (CH)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.02-b = 0.2-c = -50-d = 2

High-frequency bursts. A higher c value could potentially lead to a lower firing rate, as the membrane potential resets to a higher value after a spike. Sensitivity to v fluctuations can also affect the firing rate (see Figure 6).



Figure 7: Fast Spiking (FS)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.1-b = 0.2-c = -65-d = 2

Very high firing rate due to a high a value, which leads to quicker recovery after a spike. This type of behavior is common in inhibitory interneurons (see Figure 7).



Figure 8: Thalamo-Cortical (TC)

See the explanation for TC1 below (Figure 8).



Figure 9: TC2 (Thalamo-Cortical 2)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.1-b = 0.26
 - -c = -65

- d = 2

Lower firing rate, especially during hyperpolarization, due to a high b value, which increases the sensitivity of the recovery variable to the subthreshold fluctuations of the membrane potential (see Figure 9).



Figure 10: Resonator (RZ)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.1 -b = 0.26 -c = -60-d = 5

Exhibits oscillatory firing patterns in response to specific stimuli. The parameters, particularly a high d value, contribute to a longer recovery period after a spike, which can lead to the observed oscillatory behavior (see Figure 10).



Figure 11: Low-Threshold Spiking (LTS)

- Parameters:
 - -a = 0.02-b = 0.25-c = -65-d = 2

Burst firing with periods of silence. The higher b value increases the sensitivity of the recovery variable to the subthreshold fluctuations of the membrane potential, which could potentially lead to a lower firing rate compared to RS neurons (see Figure 11).

The Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) Model

a. Equilibrium Potential Meaning and Values

The meaning of the values E_{Na} , E_{K} , and E_{leak} In the Hodgkin-Huxley model, is that they represent the equilibrium potentials for sodium, potassium, and the leak current, respectively. These values determine the resting membrane potential and the behavior of the neuron in response to different stimuli.

As opposed to the LIF model and the Izhikevich model described above, the HH model includes more sophisticated ion channels and conductances that contribute to the membrane potential. Those represent in the text book batteries (E): the sodium battery, the potassium battery, and the leak battery - which are the equilibrium potentials for sodium, potassium, and the leak current, respectively.

Those are constant values that determine the resting membrane potential and the behavior of the neuron in response to different stimuli.

- E_{Na} (Sodium Equilibrium Potential): This is the voltage at which there is no net flow of sodium ions across the cell membrane. It is determined by the concentration gradient of sodium ions inside and outside the cell. A high E_{Na} means a strong driving force for sodium ions to enter the cell when the membrane potential is less than E_{Na} .
- $E_{\rm K}$ (Potassium Equilibrium Potential): This is the voltage at which there is no net flow of potassium ions across the cell membrane. It reflects the balance point between the concentration gradient of potassium ions and the electrical gradient across the membrane. A high $E_{\rm K}$ means potassium ions will leave the cell when the membrane potential is greater than $E_{\rm K}$.
- E_{leak} (Leak Current Equilibrium Potential): This represents the equilibrium potential considering all ions that can leak through the membrane. It combines the effects of various ions, primarily sodium and potassium, that contribute to the resting membrane potential.

In the model equations, these equilibrium potentials are used to calculate the membrane potential and the gating variables that control the flow of ions across the membrane. those values directly effect the current stimulus and the membrane potential, as can be seen in the equations below:

Mathematical equations for the HH model are:

$$I = C_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = g_K n^4 (V - E_K) + g_{Na} m^3 h (V - E_{Na}) + g_{leak} (V - E_{leak}) + I_{stim}$$

Note: In the text book the notation g_l Is used for the leak conductance, but I used g_{leak} for consistency with the other conductances.

In the next section I will see how changings those values will effect the membrane potential.

b. V-T Curves for Different Values

I will examin the V-T curves for different values of E_{Na} , E_{K} , and E_{leak} .



Figure 12: V-T Curves for Different Values of $E_{\rm Na}$, $E_{\rm K}$, and $E_{\rm leak}$

See the implementation of the HH Curves in the code linked here. This code was used to generate Figure 12.

Analysis:

The graph includes three V-T curves for the Hodgkin-Huxley model with different values of the equilibrium potentials for sodium, potassium, and the leak current:

Description	$E_{ m Na}$	E_{K}	E_{leak}
Model 1 (Green)	$115\mathrm{mV}$	$-12\mathrm{mV}$	$10.6\mathrm{mV}$
Model 2 (Yellow)	$120\mathrm{mV}$	$-10\mathrm{mV}$	$12\mathrm{mV}$
Model 3 (Purple)	$110\mathrm{mV}$	$-14\mathrm{mV}$	$8\mathrm{mV}$

- Model 1 (Green): This model has a moderate equilibrium potential for sodium (115 mV), a low equilibrium potential for potassium (-12 mV), and a moderate equilibrium potential for the leak current (10.6 mV). The membrane potential shows four distinct action potentials, with each peak reaching around 100 mV. The rapid rise and fall of the membrane potential indicate the dynamics of the sodium and potassium ion channels influenced by these equilibrium potentials.
- Model 2 (Yellow): This model has a high equilibrium potential for sodium (120 mV), a higher equilibrium potential for potassium (-10 mV), and a slightly higher equilibrium potential for the leak current (12 mV). The membrane potential shows four action potentials,

similar to Model 1, but with peaks slightly higher and occurring at similar intervals but sooner. This reflects a strong driving force for sodium ions to enter and potassium ions to leave the cell, leading to a rapid depolarization and repolarization phase.

• Model 3 (Purple): This model has a lower equilibrium potential for sodium (110 mV), a lower equilibrium potential for potassium (-14 mV), and the lowest equilibrium potential for the leak current (8 mV). The membrane potential shows four action potentials (the 4th is cutten), with slightly lower peaks and a more gradual repolarization phase compared to Models 1 and 2. The weaker driving force for sodium and potassium ions results in a slower rate of depolarization and repolarization.

Analysis of V-T Curves According to the Model Equations:

We can explain the differences in the V-T curves based on the model equations and the equilibrium potentials:

- 1. Membrane Potential Strong Impact of E_{Na}
 - The membrane potential V_m Varies significantly across different models and time points.
 - Higher $E_{\rm Na}$ Values result in higher peaks in $V_m,$ indicating stronger depolarization.
 - This is the direct result of the function:

$$C_m \frac{dV_m}{dt} = g_{\rm K} n^4 (V_m - E_{\rm K}) + g_{\rm Na} m^3 h (V_m - E_{\rm Na}) + g_{\rm leak} (V_m - E_{\rm leak}) + I_{\rm stim}$$

where the equilibrium potentials directly affect the current stimulus and the membrane potential.

2. Potassium Current $(I_{\rm K})$ - Impact of $E_{\rm K}$

- The driving force for potassium is $V_m E_K$. A more negative E_K Increases the driving force when $V_m \$ Is positive, leading to a stronger outward potassium current.
- This stronger outward potassium current helps repolarize the membrane potential after an action potential. In the data provided, Model 3 with $E_{\rm K} = -14 \,\mathrm{mV}$ shows a more gradual repolarization phase compared to Models 1 and 2.
- 3. Leak Current (I_{leak}) Impact of E_{leak}
 - The driving force for the leak current is $(V_m E_{\text{leak}})$. Variations in E_{leak} affect the magnitude of the leak current, which contributes to the stabilization of the resting membrane potential.
 - A lower E_{leak} results in a smaller driving force and thus a smaller leak current, leading to a more stable and less variable membrane potential. In the data provided, Model 3 with $E_{\text{leak}} = 8 \text{ mV}$ shows a slightly lower and more stable membrane potential compared to the other models.

Observations on the Data

The following data was recorded at times the membrane potential curve changed its direction, meaning it reached a peak or a trough:

Model	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Time} \\ \text{(ms)} \end{array}$	V_m (mV)	$I_{ m Na}$ (mA)	$I_{\rm K}~({\rm mA})$	$\begin{array}{c} I_{\rm leak} \\ ({\rm mA}) \end{array}$	m	n	h
2	2.0	111.23	-233.89	230.70	30.02	0.8768	0.4787	0.3646
1	2.2	105.94	-266.02	257.93	28.76	0.9082	0.4959	0.3471
3	2.45	100.96	-241.46	232.02	28.02	0.8754	0.4861	0.3482
2	16.35	100.66	-400.94	396.67	26.78	0.9146	0.5610	0.2333
1	17.1	97.18	-374.28	365.22	26.08	0.9022	0.5517	0.2431
3	18.7	91.79	-377.96	378.90	25.38	0.9170	0.5605	0.2349
2	30.35	99.37	-422.08	431.05	26.59	0.9362	0.5735	0.2215
1	31.75	96.38	-386.73	386.86	25.98	0.9182	0.5601	0.2338
3	34.75	91.81	-365.47	354.62	25.21	0.8950	0.5521	0.2363

Code Snippets

Code for the F-I Curves

Settings the parameters and the time array:

```
# F-I Curve for the LIF model testing different tau values
tau_m_values = [0.001, 0.01, 1.0] # Time constant
```

```
F = []
I = np.arange(0, 1.5, 0.01) # Current stimulus [mA]
```

Calculating the Firing Rate:

```
for tau_m in tau_m_values:
    f = [] # Firing rate
    for i in I:
        Vm = np.ones(len(time)) * vRest * 1e-3
        t_i = 0
        spikes = []
        stim = i * 1e-3 * signal.triang(len(time))
        for j, t in enumerate(time[:-1]):
            if t > t_init:
                uinf = vRest * 1e-3 + Rm * 1e3 * stim[j]
                Vm[j + 1] = uinf + (Vm[j] - uinf) * np.exp(-dt * 1e-3 / tau_m)
                print(Vm[j + 1])
                if Vm[j] \ge vTh * 1e-3:
                    spikes.append(t * 1e3)
                    Vm[j] = vSpike * 1e-3
                    t_init = t + tau_ref * 1e-3
        f.append(len(spikes) / T)
    F.append(f)
Plotting the F-I curves:
```

```
#plot 3 F-I curves
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
plt.title("F-I Curve", fontsize=15)
plt.ylabel("Firing Rate (Hz)", fontsize=15)
plt.xlabel("Current Stimulus (mA)", fontsize=15)
for i, f in enumerate(F):
    plt.plot(I, f, linewidth=5, label=f"$\\tau$ = {tau_m_values[i]}")
plt.legend()
plt.savefig("FI_curve.png")
plt.show()
```

Code for the V-T Curves

Settings the parameters and the time array:

```
# 3 V-T curves for the LIF model testing different threshold values
thresholds = [-40.0, 0.1, 40.0] # Threshold values in [V
# other parameters...
```

Calculating the V-T curves, note that inside the loop the equation is solved for the first spike (to test the prediction):

```
fig, axs = plt.subplots(len(thresholds), 1, figsize=(10, 5*len(thresholds)))
```

```
for idx, vTh in enumerate(thresholds):
   Vm = np.ones(len(time)) * vRest * 1e-3
    t_i = 0
   spikes = []
    stim = I * 1e-3 * np.ones(len(time))
   firt_spike = True
   print(f'Predictedion: {-tau_m * np.log(abs(vTh* 1e-3 - /
        (vRest * 1e-3 + Rm * 1e3 * stim[1]))) - 0.008:.5}')
   for j, t in enumerate(time[:-1]):
        if t > t_init:
            uinf = vRest * 1e-3 + Rm * 1e3 * stim[j]
            Vm[j + 1] = uinf + (Vm[j] - uinf) * np.exp(-dt * 1e-3 / tau_m)
            if Vm[j] >= vTh * 1e-3:
                if firt_spike:
                    firt_spike = False
                    s = -tau_m * np.log(abs(Vm[j+1] - uinf)) - 0.008
                    print(f'firt_spike at t: {t}')
                    print(f'Calculated equaton with Vm[j+1]:{s:.5}')
                    print('-' * 50)
                spikes.append(t * 1e3)
                Vm[j] = vSpike * 1e-3
                t_init = t + tau_ref * 1e-3
    axs[idx].set_title(f"V-T Curve ($V_{{Th}}$ = {vTh} mV)", fontsize=15)
    axs[idx].set_ylabel("Membrane Potential (mV)", fontsize=15)
    axs[idx].set_xlabel("Time (msec)", fontsize=15)
    axs[idx].plot(time * 1e3, Vm * 1e3, linewidth=5, label="Vm")
   axs[idx].plot(
       time * 1e3,
        100 / max(stim) * stim,
        label="Stimuli (Scaled)",
        color="sandybrown",
        linewidth=2,
    )
    axs[idx].set_ylim([-75, 100])
```

```
axs[idx].axvline(x=spikes[0], c="red", label="Spike")
for s in spikes[1:]:
    axs[idx].axvline(x=s, c="red")
    axs[idx].axhline(y=vTh, c="black", label="Threshold", linestyle="--")
    axs[idx].legend()
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig("VT_curves.png")
plt.show()
```

Code for the time prediction

Tester for the time prediction according to the calculated equation and the model equations:

```
OFFSET = 0.0079 # Offset to adjust the calculation
```

calculation = -tau_m * np.log(abs(MILI*(vTh - vRest) - Rm * I)) - OFFSET

```
# Time to reach the threshold value VTh
print(f'Prediction: {calculation:.5f}')
```

Code for the Izhikevich Model

A function to simulate the Izhikevich neuron model:

```
def izhikevich(a, b, c, d, I, T=T, dt=dt):
    time = np.arange(0, T + dt, dt)
    v = np.full(len(time), c *MILI) # Membrane potential [mV]
    u = b * v # Membrane recovery variable
    spikes = []
    for t in range(len(time) - 1):
        v[t+1] = v[t] + dt * (0.04 * v[t]**2 + 5 * v[t] + 140 - u[t] + I[t])
        u[t+1] = u[t] + dt * a * (b * v[t] - u[t])
        if v[t+1] >= 30:
            v[t] = 30  # Spike peak
            spikes.append(t * dt)
            v[t+1] = c # Reset membrane potential
            u[t+1] += d # Reset recovery variable
    return time, v, I
A function to plot the V-T graph for different Izhikevich models:
def plot_izhikevich(time, v, I, title):
    plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
   plt.plot(time, v, label='Membrane Potential (v)')
    plt.plot(time, I, label='Input Current (I)')
   plt.xlabel('Time (ms)')
```

```
plt.ylabel('Membrane Potential (mV) / Input Current (pA)')
plt.title(title)
plt.legend()
```

Define the parameters for different neuron types:

plt.show()

Note: The following methods were used to plot the combined figure of the 8 neuron types. For each separated figure, the above method was used.

```
# Parameters for different neuron types
neuron_params = {
    'RS': {'a': 0.02, 'b': 0.2, 'c': -65, 'd': 8},
    'IB': {'a': 0.02, 'b': 0.2, 'c': -55, 'd': 4},
    'CH': {'a': 0.02, 'b': 0.2, 'c': -50, 'd': 2},
    'FS': {'a': 0.1, 'b': 0.2, 'c': -65, 'd': 2},
    'TC1': {'a': 0.02, 'b': 0.25, 'c': -60, 'd': 2},
    'TC2': {'a': 0.02, 'b': 0.25, 'c': -60, 'd': 2},
    'RZ': {'a': 0.1, 'b': 0.26, 'c': -60, 'd': 5},
    'LTS': {'a': 0.02, 'b': 0.25, 'c': -65, 'd': 2},
}
T = 500 # Simulation time [mSec]
```

```
dt = 0.2 # Time step [mSec]
time = np.arange(0, T + dt, dt)
# Stimulus currents
I_rest = np.zeros(len(time))
I_hyper = np.zeros(len(time))
Z_rest = np.zeros(len(time))
# Technical adjustments to get closer to the paper
# ...
# Function to simulate Izhikevich neuron model
def izhikevich(a, b, c, d, I, T=T, dt=dt):
   time = np.arange(0, T + dt, dt)
    v = np.full(len(time), c *MILI) # Membrane potential [mV]
    u = b * v # Membrane recovery variable
    spikes = []
    for t in range(len(time) - 1):
        v[t+1] = v[t] + dt * (0.04 * v[t]**2 + 5 * v[t] + 140 - u[t] + I[t])
        u[t+1] = u[t] + dt * a * (b * v[t] - u[t])
        if v[t+1] >= 30:
            v[t] = 30  # Spike peak
            spikes.append(t * dt)
            v[t+1] = c # Reset membrane potential
            u[t+1] += d # Reset recovery variable
    return time, v, I
# Simulations
results = {}
results['RS'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['RS'], I=I_rest)
results['IB'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['IB'], I=I_rest)
results['CH'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['CH'], I=I_rest)
results['FS'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['FS'], I=I_rest)
results['TC1'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['TC1'], I=I_rest)
results['TC2'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['TC2'], I=I_hyper)
results['RZ'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['RZ'], I=Z_rest)
results['LTS'] = izhikevich(**neuron_params['LTS'], I=I_rest)
```

Code for the HH Curves

A function to plot the V-T graph for different Hodgkin-Huxley models:

```
def plot_VT_graph(models, stimulusCurrent, totalTime, deltaTms):
    time_points = np.arange(0, totalTime, deltaTms)
    Wm_traces = {model_name: [] for model_name in models}
    for t in time_points:
        for model_name, model in models.items():
            model.Iterate(stimulusCurrent, deltaTms, t)
            Vm_traces[model_name].append(model.Vm)
    plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
    for model_name, Vm_trace in Vm_traces.items():
        plt.plot(time_points, Vm_trace, label=model_name)
    plt.title('V-T Curves for Different Hodgkin-Huxley Models')
    plt.xlabel('Time (ms)')
    plt.ylabel('Membrane Potential (mV)')
    plt.legend()
    plt.show()
```

Modifications to the ${\tt HHModel}$ class:

```
class HHModel:
    #...
    def __init__(self, startingVoltage=0, ENa=115, EK=-12, ELeak=10.6):
        self.Vm = startingVoltage
        self.ENa = ENa
        self.EK = EK
        self.ELeak = ELeak
        #...
    def UpdateCellVoltage(self, stimulusCurrent, deltaTms, t=0):
        self.INa = np.power(self.m.state, 3) * self.gNa * \
                   self.h.state * (self.Vm - self.ENa)
        self.IK = np.power(self.n.state, 4) * self.gK * (self.Vm - self.EK)
        self.ILeak = self.gLeak * (self.Vm - self.ELeak)
        new_Vm = self.Vm + deltaTms * \setminus
            (stimulusCurrent - self.INa - self.IK - self.ILeak) / self.Cm
        if self.prev_Vm < self.Vm > new_Vm and self.pick == False:
            # Check for peak
            self.pick = True
        self.prev_Vm = self.Vm
        self.Vm = new_Vm
        if self.pick:
            print(f'Time (ms): {t}')
            print(f'Model with {self.ENa=}, {self.EK=}, {self.ELeak=}')
```

```
print(f'{self.Vm=}')
print(f'{self.INa=}, {self.IK=}, {self.ILeak=}, {self.Isum=}')
print(f'{self.m.state=}, {self.n.state=}, {self.h.state=}')
print('-' * 50)
self.pick = False
```

Setting up the models and plotting the V-T graph:

```
# Define three different models with different equilibrium potentials
models = {
    'Model 1': HHModel(ENa=115, EK=-12, ELeak=10.6),
    'Model 2': HHModel(ENa=120, EK=-10, ELeak=12),
    'Model 3': HHModel(ENa=110, EK=-14, ELeak=8)
}
```

```
# Plot the V-T graph for the models
plot_VT_graph(models, stimulusCurrent=10, totalTime=50, deltaTms=0.05)
```